

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN FAVIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOSEPH FAVIR,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:25-cv-1083-TLN-JDP (PS)

ORDER

On May 9, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served Plaintiff Stephen Favis (“Plaintiff”), and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court”). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed May 9, 2025, are adopted in full;

3 2. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 4) is dismissed without leave to amend for

4 lack of subject matter jurisdiction; and

5 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 **Date: June 17, 2025**

8
9
10 
11 TROY L. NUNLEY
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28